



Fw: Water District

Cytasha Campa to: cr_board_clerk Clerk Recorder

04/20/2015 08:12 AM

Kindest regards,

Cytasha Campa

Secretary to the Board of Supervisors

San Luis Obispo County

----- Forwarded by Cytasha Campa/BOS/COSLO on 04/20/2015 08:12 AM -----

From: David Gaskill <agdaveandanita@msn.com>
To: <fmecham@co.slo.ca.us>, <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>, <ahill@co.slo.ca.us>,
<lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>, <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>, <ccampa@co.slo.ca.us>,
Date: 04/17/2015 03:36 PM
Subject: Water District

Re: BOS meeting 4/21/15 Agenda Item 26

We believe we should have a locally controlled water district.

We would urge you to send a water district application to LAFCO.

This application is our best hope to establish a locally controlled water district.

David and Anita Gaskill

Paso Robles,ca



To: cr_board_clerk Clerk Recorder/ClerkRec/COSLO@Wings,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Fw: Agenda Item 26, BoS Hearing April 21, 2015
From: Cytasha Campa/BOS/COSLO - Monday 04/20/2015 08:19 AM

Kindest regards,

Cytasha Campa

Secretary to the Board of Supervisors

San Luis Obispo County

----- Forwarded by Cytasha Campa/BOS/COSLO on 04/20/2015 08:19 AM -----

From: PRO Equity <prowater4equity@sbcglobal.net>
To: Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>, Frank Mecham <fmecham@co.slo.ca.us>, Supervisor
Bruce Gibson <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>, Adam Hill <ahill@co.slo.ca.us>,
"lcompton@co.slo.ca.us" <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>,
Cc: "ccampa@co.slo.ca.us" <ccampa@co.slo.ca.us>
Date: 04/18/2015 09:37 PM
Subject: Agenda Item 26, BoS Hearing April 21, 2015

Attached please find PRO Water Equity's comments concerning Agenda Item 26, for the Board of Supervisors' hearing on April 21, 2015: Resolution of Application and Plan for Services for the proposed Paso Robles Basin Water District.

Thank you,
Jan Seals
Treasurer, PRO Water Equity



PWE support of application to LAFCO.pdf

PRO Water Equity, Inc.

Paso Robles Groundwater Basin Overliers for Water Equity

www.prowaterequity.org
info.prowaterequity@gmail.com
www.facebook.com/ProWaterEquity
Templeton, CA 93465

April 17, 2015

Chair Debbie Arnold
Supervisor Frank Mecham
Supervisor Bruce Gibson
Supervisor Adam Hill
Supervisor Lynn Compton


Re: Resolution of Application and Plan for Services
for proposed Paso Robles Basin Water District
Agenda Item 26, BoS Hearing April 21, 2015

Dear Chairperson Arnold and Supervisors,

On April 21, 2015, your board will consider a Resolution of Application and Plan for Services for the proposed Paso Robles Basin Water District. PRO Water Equity supports your board approving the LAFCO application for the Water District formation, submitting the application to LAFCO, and filing the CEQA Notice of Exemption.

In regard to water district powers, we believe that AB 2453 contains a mistake that would allow only four of the nine board members to pass an emergency ordinance, as opposed to what was intended to be an affirmative vote of seven members. Staff is suggesting that your Board recommend to LAFCO that the power to adopt emergency ordinances be made a latent power unless and until the State Legislature makes the necessary amendments. Until that time, we support that power being a latent power. PRO Water Equity supports all other powers being approved for the water district.


Sincerely,
The Board of PRO Water Equity, Inc.



Sue Luft
President



Laurie Gage
Vice President



Jan Seals
Treasurer



CC Coats
Secretary

Mission Statement: To promote the health, safety, common good and general welfare of the community by advocating for the stabilization and sustainability of the Paso Robles groundwater basin for the benefit of all overlayers.



To: cr_board_clerk Clerk Recorder/ClerkRec/COSLO@Wings,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Fw: Agenda item 26 BOS hearing 4-21-15
From: Cytasha Campa/BOS/COSLO - Monday 04/20/2015 08:20 AM

Kindest regards,

Cytasha Campa

Secretary to the Board of Supervisors

San Luis Obispo County

----- Forwarded by Cytasha Campa/BOS/COSLO on 04/20/2015 08:19 AM -----

From: Milagro <velasco_m@sbcglobal.net>
To: "ccampa@co.slo.ca.us" <ccampa@co.slo.ca.us>,
Date: 04/18/2015 01:12 PM
Subject: Agenda item 26 BOS hearing 4-21-15

I want a locally controlled water district. I want my voice respected not just heard.
Do the right thing! Move the application forward.

Overlier,
Milagro Velasco

Take Care!

Sent from Milagro's iPhone



Fw: LAFCO

Vicki Shelby to: cr_board_clerk Clerk Recorder

04/20/2015 10:31 AM

Vicki M. (Shelby) Fogleman
Legislative Assistant for
First District Supervisor Frank R. Mecham

San Luis Obispo CA 93408
(

email: vshelby@co.slo.ca.us

"Thinking a smile all the time will keep your face youthful" - Frank G. Burgess
"Wrinkles should merely indicate where smiles have been" - Mark Twain

----- Forwarded by Vicki Shelby/BOS/COSLO on 04/20/2015 10:31 AM -----

From: Cytasha Campa/BOS/COSLO
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants
Date: 04/20/2015 08:18 AM
Subject: Fw: LAFCO

Kindest regards,

Cytasha Campa

Secretary to the Board of Supervisors

San Luis Obispo County

----- Forwarded by Cytasha Campa/BOS/COSLO on 04/20/2015 08:18 AM -----

From: Wendy Weatherford <Wendy@Wendyweatherford.com>
To: "ccampa@co.slo.ca.us" <ccampa@co.slo.ca.us>,
Date: 04/20/2015 07:46 AM
Subject: LAFCO

Please let us, with smaller parcels, have a choice in our water.
Wendy Weatherford
Creston

Sent from my iPhone



To: cr_board_clerk Clerk Recorder/ClerkRec/COSLO@Wings,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Fw: Oppose Water District As It Is Proposed
From: Frank Mecham/BOS/COSLO - Monday 04/20/2015 10:38 AM
Sent by: Vicki Shelby/BOS/COSLO

Frank R. Mecham
District 1 Supervisor

San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

FMecham@co.slo.ca.us

----- Forwarded by Vicki Shelby/BOS/COSLO on 04/20/2015 10:38 AM -----

From: "Claire" <claire@tobinjames.com>
To: <fmecham@co.slo.ca.us>
Date: 04/17/2015 06:29 PM
Subject: Oppose Water District As It Is Proposed

Dear Frank,

Please oppose the formation of the water district as it is proposed right now. As it is proposed, large agri-business and larger vineyards stand to gain while smaller farmers, vineyards and rural residential stand to lose too much.

If larger agri-business and big vineyards want a water district, they should form an OPT-IN water district. They should join together to pay for projects & "supplemental" water.

The Water District as it is proposed will give more voting power to larger agribusiness and vineyards so that they will control the district because the proposed "medium" sized category of properties is too large of an acreage span at 40 – 400 acres. Properties just shy of 400 will side with the "large" size properties, edging out the small and the lower side of the medium sized properties. Additionally,

big agri-business and vineyards will be more likely to be elected for the 3 at large board positions, since they will be able to spend more money on their campaigns before elections.

Thank you for all your time and careful thought before you make these very important decisions.

Yours truly,

Claire Silver

Tobin James Cellars

Paso Robles, CA 93446

www.tobinjames.com





To: cr_board_clerk Clerk Recorder/ClerkRec/COSLO@Wings,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Fw: Agenda item 26 BoS hearing on April 21, 2015
From: Frank Mecham/BOS/COSLO - Monday 04/20/2015 10:39 AM
Sent
by: Vicki Shelby/BOS/COSLO

Frank R. Mecham
District 1 Supervisor

San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

FMecham@co.slo.ca.us

----- Forwarded by Vicki Shelby/BOS/COSLO on 04/20/2015 10:39 AM -----

From: Milagro <velasco_m@sbcglobal.net>
To: "fmecham@co.slo.ca.us" <fmecham@co.slo.ca.us>
Date: 04/18/2015 01:07 PM
Subject: Agenda item 26 BoS hearing on April 21, 2015

I want a locally controlled water district. I want my voice respected not
just heard.
Do the right thing! Move the application forward to LAFCO.

Overlier,
Milagro Velasco

Sent from Milagro's iPhone



To: cr_board_clerk Clerk Recorder/ClerkRec/COSLO@Wings,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Fw: Agenda Item 26, BoS hearing April 21, 2015
From: Frank Mecham/BOS/COSLO - Monday 04/20/2015 10:45 AM
Sent by: Vicki Shelby/BOS/COSLO

Frank R. Mecham
District 1 Supervisor

San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

FMecham@co.slo.ca.us

----- Forwarded by Vicki Shelby/BOS/COSLO on 04/20/2015 10:44 AM -----

From: Denis Snow <deniskahunasnow@gmail.com>
To: <fmecham@co.slo.ca.us>, <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>, <ahill@co.slo.ca.us>, <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>, <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>
Date: 04/20/2015 10:44 AM
Subject: Agenda Item 26, BoS hearing April 21, 2015

To County Supervisors Mecham, Gibson, Hill, Compton, and Arnold:
As a concerned citizen of Paso Robles, who, as all of us, are dependent on the local water supply,
I ask that you support a locally controlled water district and move forward on the application to LAFCO
and let basin overlies vote on whether or not we want the proposed water district
This is a local issue that affects all who live here and we all should have a say(vote) in our future.
Thank you,
Denis Snow



To: cr_board_clerk Clerk Recorder/ClerkRec/COSLO@Wings,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Fw: Letter to Board Members from the Paso Basin Advisory Committee regarding Agenda Item #26 on 4/21
From: Frank Mecham/BOS/COSLO - Monday 04/20/2015 10:40 AM
Sent by: Vicki Shelby/BOS/COSLO

Frank R. Mecham
District 1 Supervisor

San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

FMecham@co.slo.ca.us

----- Forwarded by Vicki Shelby/BOS/COSLO on 04/20/2015 10:39 AM -----

From: Cytasha Campa/BOS/COSLO
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants
Date: 04/20/2015 08:17 AM
Subject: Fw: Letter to Board Members from the Paso Basin Advisory Committee regarding Agenda Item #26 on 4/21

Kindest regards,

Cytasha Campa

Secretary to the Board of Supervisors

San Luis Obispo County

805-781-4335

----- Forwarded by Cytasha Campa/BOS/COSLO on 04/20/2015 08:17 AM -----

From: Courtney Howard/PubWorks/COSLO
To: BOS Secretary/BOS/COSLO@Wings,
Cc: John Diodati/PubWorks/COSLO@Wings, asluft@wildblue.net <asluft@wildblue.net>
Date: 04/19/2015 10:13 PM
Subject: Letter to Board Members from the Paso Basin Advisory Committee regarding Agenda Item #26 on 4/21

Hi Cytasha,
Please find attached a letter from the Paso Basin Advisory Committee for distribution to Chairperson Arnold and the Supervisors.
I will send a hard copy to Supervisor Compton. Please let me know if I need to send over any others.
Thank you very much,
Courtney



Letter to BoS re Water District application to LAFCO.pdf



Sue Luft
Chairperson

Anthony Kalvans
Vice Chairperson

Courtney Howard
Secretary

San Luis Obispo CA 93401

**AGENCIES, ORGANIZATIONS
AND ASSOCIATIONS**

John Neil
Atascadero MWC

Kris Beal
Central Coast Vineyard Team

Vacant
City of Atascadero

Christopher Alakel
City of Paso Robles

John Ashbaugh
City of San Luis Obispo

Vacant
*County of Monterey
(Resource Management Agency)*

Robert Johnson
*Monterey County Water
Resources Agency*

Steve Sinton
*Paso Robles Imperiled
Overlying Rights (PRIOR)*

Patricia Wilmore
*Paso Robles Wine
Country Alliance*

Anthony Kalvans
San Miguel CSD

Susan Howard
Shandon CSA 16

Kurt Bollinger
*SLO County Cattlemen's
Association*

Paul Clark
SLO County Farm Bureau

Jeff Britz
Templeton CSD

Devin Best
*Upper Salinas –
Las Tablas RCD*

AT-LARGE

Dana Merrill
*Viticulture Agriculturalist
At-Large*

Bill Spencer
*Non-Viticulture Irrigated Crop
Agriculturalist At-Large*

Sue Harvey
Environmental At-Large

Sue Luft
Rural Resident At-Large

Claudia Engel
Rural Resident At-Large

Edward C. Redig
Rural Resident At-Large

William Frost
Rural Resident At-Large

George Tracy
Rural Resident At-Large

Randy Dffenbaugh
*Rural Resident At-Large
District 1*

Erin Faulkner
*Rural Resident At-Large
District 5*

Larry Werner
Undesignated At Large

April 17, 2015

Honorable Debbie Arnold
Chairperson, Board of Supervisors
County of San Luis Obispo

Subject: Resolution of Application and Plan for Services for proposed Paso Robles Basin Water District Agenda Item 26, BoS hearing April 21, 2015

Dear Supervisor Arnold:

At our meeting on April 16, 2015, the Paso Basin Advisory Committee (PBAC) received a presentation and discussed the staff report for the April 21st Board meeting regarding the Paso Robles Basin Water District (Water District) application to LAFCO. The PBAC voted (16-3-0-5) to request that your Board approve the LAFCO application for the Water District formation, submit the application to LAFCO, and file the CEQA Notice of Exemption. Two of the dissenting voting members noted that their basis for voting no is that the application should be for a water district whose Board of Directors are elected by registered voters only.

On September 19, 2014, the PBAC requested that your Board prepare and submit a resolution of application to LAFCO for formation of the Water District, and allocate sufficient funding and staff support to this effort. We thank you for moving ahead with those recommendations. We also appreciate the excellent work of your staff on this project, which is so important to our community.

We appreciate your attention to our request.

Sincerely,

Sue Luft
Chairperson, Paso Basin Advisory Committee

cc: San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors, All Districts
John Diodati, SLO County Public Works
Wade Horton, SLO County Public Works



To: cr_board_clerk Clerk Recorder/ClerkRec/COSLO@Wings,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Fw: District application at LAFCO
From: Frank Mecham/BOS/COSLO - Monday 04/20/2015 10:38 AM
Sent by: Vicki Shelby/BOS/COSLO

Frank R. Mecham
District 1 Supervisor

San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

FMecham@co.slo.ca.us

----- Forwarded by Vicki Shelby/BOS/COSLO on 04/20/2015 10:38 AM -----

From: rdiff <rdiff@yahoo.com>
To: Adam Hill <ahill@co.slo.ca.us>, Bruce Gibson <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>, Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>, Frank Mecham <fmecham@co.slo.ca.us>, "lcompton@co.slo.ca.us" <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>
Date: 04/18/2015 08:40 AM
Subject: District application at LAFCO

Dear Supervisor,

Please find my attached letter in support of the Staff's recommendation to send an application for a water district to LAFCO. Thank you for your time,

Randy Diffenbaugh
rdiff@yahoo.com



6 LAFCO app letter.pdf

April 18, 2015

Dear Board Members,

I am writing to voice support for the County sending an application to LAFCO for the formation of a locally controlled water district. Originally, I was not going to send a letter, as it seems to me that the benefits of a locally controlled water district far outweigh the other options we are left with after the passage of "SGMA" and this I believe the majority of the Board recognizes this.

However, I received a copy of a letter sent out by an active group in my area that I feel needs some response to from an affected, local resident within the basin, that is not a member of the three groups that seem to be at the forefront of this.

For simplicity, I will first get to my point, which is: "Please vote in favor of staff's recommendation to send the application to LAFCO to begin the process of formation of a locally controlled district."

Next, here are my comments on some statements circulated recently:

"1. Large agri-business and some big vineyards want a water district. They should form an OPT-IN water district. They should join together to pay for projects & "supplemental" water (THERE IS NONE!)"

-Although I am neither large agribusiness, nor a vineyard owner, I support a district. There is, in fact, supplemental water. Nacimiento's 6,000 AF unallocated is a prime example.

2. Why are we the people being forced by San Luis Obispo County (whom PRAAGS says they don't want involved) into a district we don't need or want? \$350,000.00 of taxpayer \$\$ have been spent on this application to LAFCO. If the district forms, that \$\$ will be assessed on landowners in addition to the \$950,000.00 ANNUAL administration of the water district (see application & maps in the link above).

-Whether we need a district is up for debate, but this comment forgets the fact that SGMA has stated there **will** be an agency charged with groundwater management. Whether the public wants this to be a district, or the government will be borne out in this process. I certainly believe that \$950k with a locally controlled agency is preferable to a start of \$925k for the government.

3. The AB2453 Water District has HUGE powers - here are a few:

- The power to tax
- The power to meter
- The power to enter our properties
- The power to pass and enforce ordinances
- The power to charge for water
- The power to regulate
- The power to fine
- The power to import state water - there is NO WET WATER available, only paper water.
- The power for 4 of 9 board members to vote an emergency ordinance

-Yet another statement that chooses not to look at the current law. Under SGMA, most, if not all of these powers can be possessed by whatever agency ultimately is the overseer of our basin. This includes the Flood Control District, a water district, the State, and even a judge if this were to go to adjudication.

-A brief note on this mischaracterization of state water: There is water in the SWP, and we currently import it, and have for many years. In fact, under the current 20% allocation, San Luis County will receive virtually all, if not all, of the approximately 5000 acre feet which has a firm subscription here in the county. Considering the last 4 years, that is actually pretty good. With a long term projected 60%+ allocation, there could be really good quantities of water delivered in many years. To ignore this possibility is similar to repeating the huge mistake that occurred when we chose to let Monterey County build a reservoir in our County and us only receive an minimal amount of the yield.

4. The 9 member board was a bait and switch - PRAAGS needed special legislation to form a quasi private/public district with the powers listed above! PRAAGS has said they were shocked at the powers placed in AB2453 by the Senate, yet they support all but one of the powers.

-Ignoring the incorrect use of "bait and switch," and the motives of PRAAGS, which are pure supposition, the reality is PRAAGS could have more easily petitioned for a district controlled by landowners only. Also, as stated earlier, the above powers will be given to management agencies for basin management by SGMA, even if AB2453 did not exist.

*5. **DANGER** - 4 of 9 board members can vote an "emergency ordinance" over our basin, skirting the public process of a regular ordinance. PRAAGS stated that this was a typo but when held accountable stated that Fox Canyon Water District language was dropped in. The Senate changed the number 5 (# of board members in Fox Canyon) to a 9, why not change the number 4 to a 7 so that 7 of 9 could vote an emergency. Here is the exact language of AB2453:.....*

-This item has been adequately addressed.

6. PRAAGS says they want "local control" and that the County should not manage the water in the basin. AB2453 gives SLO County authority OVER the district. "Local control" was/is a marketing statement to get us to believe in their district.

-I want local control. PRAAGS may also, but "I", as in ME, support local control. SLO does not have authority over a formed district. The County has not given up their existing planning and land use authority, but the County will not have the authority to take any actions that infringe upon the management plan adopted by whatever agency is put in place to enact it. I recently heard POWR state that "adjudication is local control." It seems that the desire for local control is universal. A water district is the only formation that actually achieves this.

Thank you very much for your consideration in this matter and I look forward to participating more in this as the process travels through LAFCO.

Sincerely,

Randy Diffenbaugh



To: cr_board_clerk Clerk Recorder/ClerkRec/COSLO@Wings,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Fw: Agenda Item 26, BoS, April 21, 2015
From: Frank Mecham/BOS/COSLO - Monday 04/20/2015 10:48 AM
Sent by: Vicki Shelby/BOS/COSLO

Frank R. Mecham
District 1 Supervisor

San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

FMecham@co.slo.ca.us

----- Forwarded by Vicki Shelby/BOS/COSLO on 04/20/2015 10:48 AM -----

From: Michelle D'Antonio <hershey53@att.net>
To: Frank Mecham <fmecham@co.slo.ca.us>, Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>, Bruce Gibson <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>, Adam Hill <ahill@co.slo.ca.us>, Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>
Date: 04/17/2015 02:22 PM
Subject: Agenda Item 26, BoS, April 21, 2015

To: San Luis Obispo Board of Supervisors

Re: Agenda Item 26

My husband and I would like to make you aware that we are strongly opposed to the formation

of a water district and oppose approval of application to LAFCO.

Large agri-business and some big vineyards want a water district. They should form an OPT-IN water district.

They should join together to pay for projects & “supplemental” water.

Why are we the people being forced into a district we don’t need or want? \$350,000.00 of taxpayer \$\$ have

been spent on this application to LAFCO. If the district forms, that \$\$ will be assessed on landowners in addition

to the \$950,000.00 ANNUAL administration of the water district.

The AB2453 Water District has HUGE powers -

- The power to **tax**
- The power to **meter**
- The power to **enter our properties**
- The power to **pass and enforce ordinances**
- The power to **charge for water**
- The power to **regulate**
- The power to **fine**
- The power to **import state water** - there is NO WET WATER available, only paper water.

Item No. 26

Meeting Date: April 21, 2015

Presented by: Nick and Michelle D'Antonio

Rec'd prior to meeting & posted to web on: April 20, 2015

- The power for **4 of 9 board members to vote an emergency**

The 9 member board was a bait and switch - PRAAGS needed special legislation to form a quasi private/public district

with the powers listed above! PRAAGS has said they were shocked at the powers placed in AB2453 by the Senate,

yet they support all but one of the powers.

4 of 9 board members can vote an "emergency ordinance" over our basin, skirting the public process of a regular ordinance.

PRAAGS stated that this was a typo but when held accountable stated that Fox Canyon Water District language was dropped in.

The Senate changed the number 5 (# of board members in Fox Canyon) to a 9, why not change the number 4 to a 7 so that

7 of 9 could vote an emergency.

We would ask that you put yourselves in our shoes. How would you like to have this forced on you, to have to fight to defend

what's already yours but is about to be stolen? This is wrong, wrong, WRONG!! Please DO NOT approve the apply to LAFCO.

Thank you,

Nick and Michelle D'Antonio

5970 Black Tail Place

Paso Robles

Sent from my iPad



Fw: Agenda Item 26, BOS hearing 4/21/15. Paso Robles Water Basin

Frank Mecham to: cr_board_clerk Clerk Recorder

04/20/2015 10:39 AM

Sent by: **Vicki Shelby**

Frank R. Mecham
District 1 Supervisor

San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

FMecham@co.slo.ca.us

----- Forwarded by Vicki Shelby/BOS/COSLO on 04/20/2015 10:39 AM -----

From: Vic Roberts <vic@victorhugowinery.com>
To: Frank Mecham <fmeccham@co.slo.ca.us>, Bruce Gibson <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>, Adam Hill <ahill@co.slo.ca.us>, Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>, Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>
Date: 04/19/2015 05:15 PM
Subject: Agenda Item 26, BOS hearing 4/21/15. Paso Robles Water Basin
Sent by: kelevicellars@gmail.com

Dear Board of Supervisors,

We support the LAFCO application for managing the Paso Robles Water Basin and ask that your Board direct staff to submit the application to LAFCO.

Sincerely,
Victor Roberts
Leslie Roberts
Victor Hugo Vineyards & Winery



To: cr_board_clerk Clerk Recorder/ClerkRec/COSLO@Wings,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject:
From: Frank Mecham/BOS/COSLO - Monday 04/20/2015 10:46 AM
Sent
by: Vicki Shelby/BOS/COSLO

Frank R. Mecham
District 1 Supervisor

San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

FMecham@co.slo.ca.us

----- Forwarded by Vicki Shelby/BOS/COSLO on 04/20/2015 10:46 AM -----

From: David Boles <daboles@sbcglobal.net>
To: "fmecham@co.slo.ca.us" <fmecham@co.slo.ca.us>
Date: 04/20/2015 10:44 AM
Subject:

David and Anita Boles are in favor of sending the application to LAFCO as outlined in the staff report so that we can get the proposed water district on to the next step in its journey to a vote of the overlayers. We both support a water district as the best way to serve people for a comprehensive way to manage our water. Thanks.
David and Anita Boles

Paso Robles, CA. 93446



Fw: Agenda item 26 BofS hearing April 21, 2015
Frank Mecham to: cr_board_clerk Clerk Recorder
Sent by: **Vicki Shelby**

04/20/2015 10:49 AM

Frank R. Mecham
District 1 Supervisor

San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

FMecham@co.slo.ca.us

----- Forwarded by Vicki Shelby/BOS/COSLO on 04/20/2015 10:48 AM -----

From: Barbara Culp <barbculp943@sbcglobal.net>
To: "fmecham@co.slo.ca.us" <fmecham@co.slo.ca.us>
Date: 04/17/2015 02:27 PM
Subject: Agenda item 26 BofS hearing April 21, 2015

Please support a locally controlled water district.

Sent from my iPhone